	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/566,290	YOON, HYUN-JAE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer Doan	2874
	Jennilei Doan	2014
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a or other appropriate communicati IGHTS. This application is subject	application. If not included on will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>
1. A This communication is responsive to amendment filed on L	<u>December 26, 2006</u> .	
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3,6,7 and 10</u> .		
<ol> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur</li> <li>a)           All b)           Some* c)           None of the:          Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>		
Certified copies of the priority documents have  Certified copies of the priority documents have		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		ly complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	•
(a) 🔲 including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached		
1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the	Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>		
·		•
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal	Patent Application
Notice of Preferences Gred (170-032)     Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summa	* *
	Paper No./Mail D	Date
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),</li></ul>	7. 🔲 Examiner's Amen	dment/Comment
	8. 🛭 Examiner's Stater	ment of Reasons for Allowance
	9.	
		Teninger Proces
		JENNIFER DOAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/566,290 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment, filed on December 26, 2006, has been fully considered and entered.

## Reasons for Allowance

- 2. Claims 1-3, 6, 7 and 10 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record fails to disclose or reasonably suggest all the limitations recited in claim 1. Specifically, the prior art of record fails to disclose a bi-directional optical module package having an optical module unit comprising a substrate member; an optical filter installed on the substrate member to be inclined with respect to an optical fiber; a first holder supported by the substrate member on one side of the optical filter; a second holder supported by the substrate member on the other side of the optical filter, wherein the first holder includes a first base portion having a laser diode, a pad and a circuit pattern installed thereon, and first extending portions which extend from both sides of the first base portion to define a fixed position of the lens, and the second holder includes a second base portion having a photodiode installed on an inner surface thereof and a wire bonding pad installed thereon, and second extending portions which extend from both sides of the second base portion to define a fixed position of the lens,

Art Unit: 2874

and wherein inclined planes having the same gradient as that of an external surface of the optical filter are formed on at least one end portions of the first extending portions and at least one end portions of the second extending portions so that the optical filter is disposed between the inclined planes in combination with the other limitations of claim 1.

Claims 2 and 3 depend from claim 1.

The prior art of record also fails to disclose or reasonably suggest all the limitations recited in claim 6. Specifically, the prior art of record fails to disclose a bidirectional optical module package having an optical module unit comprising an optical module unit which has a substrate member, an optical filter, a first holder, and a second holder, the optical filter being installed on the substrate member to be inclined with respect to the optical fiber, the first holder being supported by the substrate member on one side of the optical filter; the second holder being supported by the substrate member on the other side of the optical filter; wherein the first holder includes a first base portion having a laser diode, a pad and a circuit pattern installed thereon, and first extending portions which extend from both sides of the first base portion to define a fixed position of the lens, and the second holder includes a second base portion having a photodiode installed on an inner surface thereof and a wire bonding pad installed thereon, and second extending portions which extend from both sides of the second base portion to define a fixed position of the lens, and wherein inclined planes having the same gradient as that of an external surface of the optical filter are formed on at

Application/Control Number: 10/566,290

Art Unit: 2874

least one end portions of the first extending portions and at least one end portions of the second extending portions so that the optical filter is disposed between the inclined planes in combination with the other limitations of claim 6.

Page 4

Claims 7 and 10 depend from claim 6.

The examiner agrees with applicants' arguments on pages 5-8 in the remarks and fully concurs that none of the references discloses or suggests a bi-directional optical module package with all limitations as defined above.

Claims 1-3, 6, 7 and 10 are therefore allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 6:00am to 3:30pm, second Friday off.
- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/566,290

Art Unit: 2874

/Control Number: 10/300,25

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JENNIFER DOAN
PRIMAN CHAMINER

Page 5